|    | Case 1:20-cv-00039-DAD-BAM Docume  | ent 14 Filed 05/08/20 Page 1 of 2                   |
|----|--|---|
| 1  |  |   |
| 2  |  |   |
| 3  |  |   |
| 4  |  |   |
| 5  |  |   |
| 6  |  |   |
| 7  |  |   |
| 8  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT   |   |
| 9  | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA   |   |
| 10 |  |   |
| 11 | SEDRIC EUGENE JOHNSON,   | No. 1:20-cv-00039-DAD-BAM (PC)                      |
| 12 | Plaintiff,   |   |
| 13 | v.   | ORDER DISMISSING ACTION DUE TO                      |
| 14 | S. PICKERING, Accounting Specialist at   | REQUIRED FILING FEE AND FAILURE TO OBEY COURT ORDER |
| 15 | KVSP; et al.,  Defendants.   | (Doc. No. 12)                                       |
| 16 | Defendants.  | (Doc. 140. 12)                                      |
| 17 |  |   |
| 18 | Plaintiff Sedric Eugene Johnson is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil rights           |   |
| 19 | action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge     |   |
| 20 | pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.   |   |
| 21 | On March 16, 2020, the court adopted the assigned magistrate judge's findings and                    |   |
| 22 | recommendations and denied plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis. (Doc. Nos. 7,       |   |
| 23 | 12.) The court directed plaintiff to pay the \$400.00 filing fee within twenty-one days if he wished |   |
| 24 | to proceed with this action and warned him that failure to pay the filing fee within the specified   |   |
| 25 | time would result in dismissal of this action. (Doc. No. 12.)  |   |
| 26 | Although plaintiff's deadline to pay the filing fee was extended to April 13, 2020 due to            |   |
| 27 | plaintiff's change of his address of record, (see Doc. No. 13), that deadline elapsed, and plaintiff |   |
| 28 | has still not paid the required filing fee. As a result, this action cannot proceed and must be      |   |
|    |  | 1   |

|    | Case 1:20-cv-00039-DAD-BAM Document 14 Filed 05/08/20 Page 2 of 2                   |  |
|----|---|--|
| 1  | dismissed. See Ferdik v. Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260–61 (9th Cir. 1992).          |  |
| 2  | Accordingly:  |  |
| 3  | 1. This action is dismissed without prejudice due to plaintiff's failure to pay the |  |
| 4  | required filing fee and failure to obey court order; and                            |  |
| 5  | 2. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this action.                         |  |
| 6  | II IS SO ORDERED.   |  |
| 7  | Dated: May 7, 2020  |  |
| 8  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  |  |
| 9  |   |  |
| 10 |   |  |
| 11 |   |  |
| 12 |   |  |
| 13 |   |  |
| 14 |   |  |
| 15 |   |  |
| 16 |   |  |
| 17 |   |  |
| 18 |   |  |
| 19 |   |  |
| 20 |   |  |
| 21 |   |  |
| 22 |   |  |
| 23 |   |  |
| 24 |   |  |
| 25 |   |  |
| 26 |   |  |
| 27 |   |  |
| 28 |   |  |